Navigating the Landscape of Brain-Computer Interfaces in Medicine: Unveiling Benefits, Mechanisms, and Challenges

Nik Tehrani, Ph.D. ✉ iD
Leavey School of Business, Santa Clara University, USA
Margit Chapman, RN
San Jose State University, USA

Abstract

Advancements in understanding brain function combined with technology have unleashed enormous benefits to the disabled and even able-bodied persons. The evolution of utilizing brain signals to communicate with or control various computerized devices has proven to be effective in the world of medicine as well as other industries such as gaming, robotics, military, and work environments. Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) represent a cutting-edge technology enabling machine control through thought. Though most wearable devices employ EEG-based technologies, current research is going into a method known as fNRIS. However, despite proven advantages, challenges are yet to be resolved. Costs, extensive training requirements, exposure to potential cyberattacks, security, and privacy issues are being researched. However, greater study in each area is required to fully realize the vast benefits of this combination of science and technology but with consideration to lowering related risks. This paper discusses what we currently know and the potential of this knowledge but also exposes what we don't know, encouraging more extensive study and testing.

Introduction

Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) represent a cutting-edge technology enabling machine control through thought. BCI can provide profound impact on individuals with motor disabilities and cognitive challenges. BCIs control or communicate with machines through brain signals delivered in various output devices rather than through direct touch or voice. The output directs specific actions. BCIs differentiate between signals from the peripheral (external) and central nervous systems (internal), emphasizing the role of BCIs in enhancing the lives of both severely disabled individuals and healthy users in various contexts [1,2].

As BCIs have developed with great benefit to impaired individuals, opportunities have presented to apply the technology also to unimpaired individuals in gaming, robotics, military and work environments [1]. This paper is an overview of the definition of BCIs, how they work, their evolution, challenges, and benefits to the various applied and potentially applied areas. BCIs stand at the intersection of technology and neuroscience, allowing for machine control of actions through the analysis and translation of brain signals.

Working Mechanism of BCIs

BCIs operate based on an iterative training process with potential users, usually over a sizable amount of time, possibly months. This means that over weeks at a time, the user will continually use the BCI to allow it to generate familiarity with their brain signals and their encoded intentions for specific actions [2]. After successful training, the BCI could decode the user's

encoded brain signals and translate those signals into quantifiable actions on an output device [3,4]. These devices, such as smartphones, can assist an individual in navigation of a computer to communicate or control computer driven prosthetics.

The BCIs fitted to their recipients can come in two approaches: wearable or implanted. Wearable BCIs generally hold the characteristics of being a cap or earbuds that contain conductors that can read brain activity as detected from the client's scalp. These kinds of BCIs are mainly used for healthy individuals to enhance experiences in gaming, virtual reality, and controlling robots. Though most wearable devices employ EEG-based technologies, current research is going into a method known as fNRIS, which uses infrared light to measure the blood flow seen through the human skull and infer user intentions from it [5]. This technology enables studies under real-world conditions, such as bike riding. Implanted BCIs, in contrast, are implanted directly into the user's brain tissue through surgical means, making them more suitable for those with severe neuromuscular disorders or disabilities. Being directly implanted in the brain tissue or neurons, BCIs have direct access to brain signals without much interference [6]. Through these implanted BCIs, a user could regain control of a paralyzed or spastic limb or interact directly with a computer system without needing to touch it. The main drawback of incorporating such devices is the risk of infections or rejections due to the process [5,7].

Evolution of BCIs

The historical journey of BCIs, originating in the 1920s with Hans Berger's exploration of brain electrical signals, is chronicled. By the 1980s, the transition from invasive experiments with neurotrophic electrodes to non-invasive methods, particularly EEG, marks a pivotal moment. Early testing was on animals, but a key milestone was the successful implementation of a BCI interface in a human subject in 1998, reflect the evolution of BCIs through signal processing and machine learning advancements in the 2000s [8]. Processed signals can produce device commands to communicate, control movements, robotic devices, environmental controls such as a light switch or thermostat, to name just a few [9].

The day-to-day reliability of BCI performance needs to be improved in a way that it can mirror natural muscle reactions and functions without appearing too automated or functioning in limitations [10]. This can lead to the exploration of new treatments in the medical industry on how the brain works using the current societal advancements [11]. BCIs present a fine line of concerns that pertain to ethical concerns, privacy, autonomy, and even the potential abuse or misuse of technology in society. The future of brain interfaces still requires extensive of research to improve its accuracy, reliability, and functionality [5,10].

Benefits of BCIs

The emergence of BCIs has ushered in a new era of possibilities, offering improved communication for individuals with motor disabilities, enhanced functionality for prosthetics, and ongoing research for cognitive disability assistance [12]. The potential for neurological rehabilitation and the positive impact on overall quality of life underscore the significance of BCIs in various environments and applied areas.

Challenges of BCIs

While BCIs bring forth a myriad of benefits, ethical considerations, technical challenges, and security and privacy issues present formidable challenges. Unequal access to BCIs, unique training requirements, length of training phases, physical risks associated with invasive and non-invasive devices, and concerns about costs, security and privacy need to be explored in-depth.

When using BCI devices, there could be physical risks as well, although the chances are low but not negligible [13]. Possible risks in using brain-computer interfaces, brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) represent a cutting-edge technology enabling machine control through thought. While using invasive BCI devices, physical risks will include infection, bleeding, seizures, and brain damage. Then, while using non-invasive BCI devices, physical risks will include skin irritation, headaches, and eye strain. A cause for these could be electromagnetic interference between BCIs and electronic devices, causing them to disrupt their functioning and harm brain tissue. Outside of physical harm on the subject, there could also be damage done to the BCI device from cyberattacks by hackers.

With the potential of cyberattacks, security and privacy issues are heightened. An attack on BCIs could expose brain data or interfere with a device's function. This possibility is under review by the Department of Commerce specifically whether BCI brain signals being exported would pose security concerns across the nation, such as national rivals being able to obtain military or intelligence advantage. This decision may affect how the technology is used and shared globally. Hackers can potentially intercept stored brain data, possibly manipulate the EEG data, steal sensitive information such as pass-thoughts and user credentials, and control connected BCI devices.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the journey through the landscape of BCIs reveals their transformative potential in not just medicine but also gaming, robotic, military and work environments. The ongoing need for research to enhance accuracy, reliability, and functionality is emphasized, and this article underscores the importance of addressing challenges for the ethical and equitable integration of BCIs into any applied areas and

with high importance in healthcare and rehabilitation practices.

References

[1] Blyler J. The history and future of the BCI. Available at: https://www.designnews.com/gadget-freak/history-and-future-bci. Accessed 2019, December 18.

[2] Kennedy PR, Bakay RA, Moore MM, Adams K, et al. Direct control of a computer from the human central nervous system. IEEE Trans Rehabil Eng. 2000 Jun;8(2):198-202. doi: 10.1109/86.847815

[3] Shih JJ, Krusienski DJ, Wolpaw JR. *Brain-computer interfaces in medicine.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2012 Mar;87(3):268-79. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2011.12.008

[4] Saha S, Mamun KA, Ahmed K, et al. Progress in brain computer interface: Challenges and opportunities. Frontiers. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2021.578875/full. Accessed 2021, January 6.

[5] Howard K. Science & Tech spotlight: Brain-Computer interfaces. Science & Tech Spotlight: Brain-Computer Interfaces. U.S. GAO; 2021.

[6] Zander TO, Kothe C. Towards passive brain-computer interfaces: applying brain-computer interface technology to human-machine systems in general. J Neural Eng. 2011 Apr;8(2):025005. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/8/2/025005

[7] Birbaumer N, Cohen LG. Brain-computer interfaces: communication and restoration of movement in paralysis. J Physiol. 2007 Mar 15;579(Pt 3):621-36. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2006.125633

[8] Lebedev MA, Nicolelis MA. Brain-machine interfaces: past, present and future. Trends Neurosci. 2006 Sep;29(9):536-46. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2006.07.004

[9] Alberta Children's Hospital Foundation. Making the impossible possible. Available at: https://www.childrenshospital.ab.ca/your-impact/stories/the-kids/making-the-impossible-possible/. Accessed 2022, January 6

[10] Zander TO, Krol LR, Birbaumer NP, Gramann K. Neuroadaptive technology enables implicit cursor control based on medial prefrontal cortex activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016 Dec 27;113(52):14898-14903. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605155114

[11] Abrams Z. The future of brain – computer interfaces. IEEE Pulse. Availabe at: https://www.embs.org/pulse/articles/the-future-of-brain-computer-interfaces/. Accessed 2023, January 25.

[12] Gonfalonieri A. What brain-computer interfaces could mean for the future of work. Harvard Business Review. Availabe at: https://hbr.org/2020/10/what-brain-computer-interfaces-could-mean-for-the-future-of-work. Accessed 2020, October 6.

[13] Bleichner MG, Freudenburg ZV, Vansteensel MJ. The clinical potential of brain-computer interfaces: neuroprostheses for restoration of motor control after stroke. J NeuroEngin Rehabilit, 2019;16(1):1-13.

[14] Kelechava B. Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology. The ANSI Blog. Available at: https://blog.ansi.org/brain-computer-interface-bci-technology/#gref. Accessed 2023, January 19.